

Be Ye Not Unequally Yoked Together Through Deceptive Love



Adam de Witt

BE YE NOT UNEQUALLY YOKED TOGETHER THROUGH DECEPTIVE LOVE

by Adam de Witt

When it comes to wedlock, most Christians have only a hazy understanding of the Biblical norms as to who would make a fitting partner (spouse). On the whole, their criteria would be firstly a spouse of their own denomination, secondly someone from another congregation and thirdly, simply choosing by the emotion of love.

On the surface, these three standards may seem fine, but sadly these would only be fine in an ideal world. As our world is becoming less ideal (from a Biblical perspective) it stands to reason that Christians need to look more closely to what the Bible commands.

Today, Christians are choosing spouses in a way that, in truth, is very little different to those who are worldly (i.e. fleshly, the unanointed). Many Christians think that just because Christ fulfilled the law they don't need to. Therefore, we should see what Jesus had to say to Christians when it comes to their daily walk and in particular, to their wedded state.

Most Christians are totally unaware that a statement of matrimony was given in conclusion in Revelation. Jesus said many things on relationships; often these can be open to doctrinal interpretations. So to overcome the danger of misrepresenting His words, He gave a closing statement on wedlock, and in conclusion, so as to add a fixed perspective to all the former rulings, locked these into a solid standard.

The concluding remark in Revelation is aimed at Christians. Most church goers seem to think that Old Testament laws applied to Jews

only, certainly not to Christians. Later on we will show the problems with this line of thinking from New Testament scripture.

Yet, in the New Testament, the following verses are aimed not at Jews; indeed there can be no doubt the target audience is Christians only. If Jesus fulfilled the law, then so should we. "Fulfill" does not mean to cancel, but quite the opposite; Chambers 20th Century Dictionary puts it this way: "fulfill - to carry into effect". Thus by fulfilling the law, Jesus brings the law into effect for Christians.

Romans 8:4, "*That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled (brought into effect) in us, who walk not after the flesh but after the spirit*". Here we see two things, the "spirit" has something to do with "LAW" and that the "LAW" must be EFFECTIVE, or part of our daily walk, or applied to one's life. Jesus APPLIED TO HIS LIFE (FULFILLED) THE LAW.

Romans 13:8, "*...for he that loveth another hath fulfilled the laws.*" The meaning is quite clear. By bringing into effect God's laws in our daily lives, (thus living by them) we are able to give love, or by not doing so, our love is simply worthless. Love here, is not worldly or fleshly love, but something defined by God.

What is God's definition of love? Firstly, God's idea of "love" is far more than a fuzzy, warm emotion with romantic notions. It needs to be worked on, and work is governed by rules, or laws. "*...a labour of love...*" (1 Thessalonians 1:3). Love needs to be taught (1 Thessalonians 4:9). And the rules of love are THE LAW!

Without living The Law, but instead living by "emotional love", is not living in love by God's standard. "*By this we know that we love the children of God, when we love God, and keep His Commandments.*" (1 John 5:3). Note it did not say "Ten Commandments". Commandments mean RULES, i.e. all the laws of which the Ten Commandments are a condensed summary.

“And this is love: that we walk after His commandments. This is the commandment, that, as ye have heard from the beginning, ye should walk in it.” (2 John 1:6). *“If you love Me, keep My commandments...”* (John 14:15). *“...therefore love is the fulfilling (making effective) of the law.”* (Romans 13:10).

So-called New Testament Christians, who do not know the laws, cannot fulfill or bring into effect the laws, and thus, by the words in 2 John 6, they do not love God! Sure, they love Him on their terms, but God wants us to love Him on HIS TERMS!

The word Commandment in the Old Testament comes from *“Mitzvah”* and means *“LAW”*. It is used nearly always to mean the Laws of God that regulate all aspects of society, from what we eat to how we deal with murderers. In the case of the Ten Commandments; the word is *“Dabar”*. The implication in this case, is *“Laws as an issue”*, chronicled, or as tidings, pigeon-holed, hence as a summary. In the New Testament, the word is nearly always from *“Entole”*, used in reference to the Old Testament *“Mitzvah”* and the Greek version meaning, *“injunction,”* and *“order,”* *“precept,”* *“laws.”*

“Here is the patience of the saints (meaning the called-out-ones in Christ, i.e. Christians), here are they that keep (OBEY) the commandments (LAWS) of God and the faith (trust) of Jesus.” (Revelation 14:12). The definition of a Christian according to Scripture therefore, is not one who lives by the law or one that lives by trust in Jesus alone, but one who does BOTH!

The Churches tend only to teach trust in Jesus and thereby do their flocks a great harm. The harm (or evil) was and is that whole congregations would be without the law or, they would be LAWLESS from a scriptural perspective. This means that in the area of wedlock, Christians would be making spouse choices in the dark, because the purpose of law is not to gain righteousness, but to define sin, and shed light upon it, so as to avoid it.

The *“mis-marriage syndrome”* of the 20th age (century) is working its way through all society, particularly in the Christian circles which ought to know better. How after all, can a Christian depart from iniquity, if he can't define it by the law? *“... He knoweth them that are His. And let everyone that nameth the name of the Anointed (i.e. calls themselves Christian) DEPART FROM INIQUITY.”* (2 Timothy 2:19).

And, because they live law-less lives, then they ought not to be surprised to find Christ say to them the following words (although they think these only apply to those not of THEIR denomination): *“Not everyone that saith unto Me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that DOETH the will of my Father which is in heaven.”*

What does the word *“will”* here mean? It is translated from *“thelema”* which means *“a determination, act or deed, purpose, decree”*; in the abstract form, which is not applicable here, it can also mean *“pleasure, desire”*. However, even in the abstract form the *“desire of God”* does not let Christians off the hook. The desire or pleasure of God is that YOU OBEY His Laws! There is no way out! So, DO IT!

“...Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord have we not preached in thy name? And in thy name have cast out devils (spooks, curses, demons)? And in thy name done many wonderful works?” (Sent out missionaries, started *“Hallelujah churches”* all over the 3rd world, and so on). I don't know if these Christians get it, but Christ is being a little cutting here; He is mocking their values. He then goes on to say what He really thinks of their deeds, *“... And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you; depart from me, ye that work iniquity (i.e. lawlessness).”* The word *“iniquity”* means *“violation of the law,”* *“transgression of God's Law”* from *“anomia”*.

In fact, none of the laws were done away with. Christ removed the CURSE of the law (i.e. the penalty of everlasting death), so that the falling short of the law will not rob us of the promises. These shortfalls are meant to be

accidental rather than deliberate on our part. Then Christ's first work, the covering of sin, will work. The first work therefore lifted the law to a more perfect level, a more mature level. A higher level does not mean a forsaking of the law. Yes, even sacrifices in the temple still are still required, but the sacrifice today is now daily whereby you sacrifice yourself each day (having a humble and a contrite heart, Psalm 51), putting to death the old nature inside the more perfect temple, your body as part of the body of Christ.

Thus, your will needs to line up with Christ's will. Think about it. How can the laws be on your heart if you don't want to live by them? During the less mature level "the show of correction" was outward; for instance, when we transgressed, we shed the blood of goats and rams for all to see. On the more mature level (after Christ's first work) the "show of correction" is inward, so that for a transgression, the blood of Christ is shed in us. But in both cases we are to obey the Law.

It is time to look at Revelation, the "uncovering". Turn with me to the words of the Anointed where He has a number of things to say about the seven churches, Christian Churches, don't forget! Most Christians agree on few things, but by and large, they accept this much, that the seven churches of Revelation were and are Christian; and that there were more than seven Christian centers in Asia Minor. In Revelation 1:4 the seven churches are also "to come" to typify seven distinct "spirits," or "ghosts," or "mindsets" of the church age. Yet few Christians are aware that Jesus gave a stern warning on wedlock in the following chapter, largely because they do not know their Biblical history.

Revelation 2:14 "...*But I have a few things against thee* (it is not a good thing for Christ to have a bone to pick with you) *because thou hast there* (in your midst) *them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balak to cast a stumbling block before the children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols*" (i.e. to partake in things from food to spiritual [ghostly, mindset] food, that contradicts the laws of God, but that is only

the flow on effect of the "stumbling-block." The crux of the matter is "...*and to commit fornication.*"

Now most Christians would see the word "fornication" and say, "Well that clears me, because my wife and I have no extra-marital hanky-panky." But wait, "fornication" here must be taken in the light of (i.e., the slant, the perspective, the context of) the "Doctrine of Balaam"! The word stumbling-block here means "cause of displeasure" or "sin."

Balak was the king of the Moabites when the Israelites were coming out of Egypt, and he knew his people faced being "licked up" (Numbers 22:4), so he sent for Balaam to curse the Israelites. Numbers 25:1, 2, deals with eating and forming relations with the daughters of Moab, called whoredom (i.e. fornication, unlawful relationships). By making a reference nearly at the end of the New Testament to nearly the front of the Old Testament Jesus makes it clear what all should know: God does not change, nor do His Laws, even on what is deemed as fitting "mating stock."

Fornication in the sense of "free-love" is not the issue here (although undoubtedly a part of it) because there is no damning reference to Israelite men committing fornication with Israelite women (who may have expected a more proper wedding). The reference is clearly a damning of Israelites (men) with Moabites (women). There is no mention of the men being wed or unwed. The matter of fornication is specified to be in the area of what we would call today "mixed-marriages" or "interracial partnerships" (married or unmarried, living together).

In Hebrews 12:16 Esau is called a "fornicator", even though in Genesis 28:9, 36:2 and 36:6 it says he married his wives. Clearly, they were unlawful marriages! And if you wish to back this from a second witness, read what Josephus wrote. His historical account of Numbers 22 to 26 states that Israelites (our forebears and thus relevant to us) could not be harmed or destroyed except by drawing our kin

into sin, (i.e. causing us to break God's Laws). This means that sinning and bringing lawlessness into the midst of our folk is GENOCIDE.

Hollywood and the Churches are chief in getting us to transgress the law, they are chief in "FOLK MURDER" or Genocide. Why? Hollywood teaches sin and the churches teach lawlessness. The whole history of our folk in the Bible and in Josephus shows this to be true. Indeed, look at our nation today. The Book of Numbers agrees with Josephus in that Balaam was unable to curse our forefathers, but that something else would work.

From Josephus: "...misfortunes, and those for a short time, whereby they may appear to be brought low, may still befall them ...So that if you have a mind to gain a victory over them for a short space of time, you will obtain it by following my directions; Do you therefore set out the handsomest of such of your daughters as are most eminent for beauty, and proper to force and conquer the modesty of those that behold them, and these decked and trimmed to the highest degree you are able (made up and painted, and dressed in order to attract and entice). Then do you send them to be near the Israelites camp, and give them charge, that when the young men (randy bucks, lusting after women) of the Hebrews desire their company, they allow them..." (i.e., get the Moabite women to prostitute themselves in order to get the Israel men to sin).

The account gives details on how our men fell for these women, how they ate (wined and dined) with them, forsaking in so doing God's Laws on "*be ye separate*". Eating with, or wining and dining is an old custom that means you approve of or agree with the company you are keeping. One then offers or sacrifices oneself to others, and wittingly or otherwise, to their gods. Wining and dining, even today, is wrapped in romanticism and is an unofficial, but real pact. (Beware of taking someone else's wife or husband to lunch or dinner).

"... For by this means God will be angry at them (our forefathers)." 2 Peter 2:10-15 relays

the account stressing the sin. Also "...woe unto them ... (those who) *ran greedily after the error of Balaam...*" Jude 11. The way of Balaam is the teaching that "we are all one", "we are all God's children", "the human race", or "the brotherhood of man" philosophy; and promoting the mixing of Israelites with non-Israelites, Anglo-Saxon with non-Anglo-Saxon. (If it is promoted by the media and government, there is a pretty good chance it's against God's Law!).

In case you think the Bible does not give enough conclusive proof of "race" being the issue, then read on in Numbers, "... and, behold, one of the children of Israel came and brought unto his brethren a Midianitish woman in the sight of Moses, and ...all the congregation ...and when Phinehas saw it he rose up from among the congregation and took a javelin in his hand, ...and thrust them both through ...So the plague was stayed from the children of Israel..." "Phinehas hath turned my wrath away from the children of Israel."

Ezra 9:1-4 tells of mingling in no uncertain terms and places this sin squarely as the chief cause of the Babylonian captivity. God created us to be a servant nation (God's servants). The word "nation" in the New Testament is translated almost always from the word "*Ethnos*" meaning "race", from which we get the word "Ethnic". How can we be a servant race if we are all hybridized? Hybrids are not a race (many hybridized plants produce seedless fruit, or fruit with seeds that will no longer produce; cross a horse with a donkey and it will produce a mule, which is sterile).

"Race" is determined by sort begets sort or "kind after kind". One male Israelite plus one female Israelite always begets Israelite offspring. One Negro male plus one Negro female always begets Negro offspring. Kind after kind, each after its own kind. One Israelite plus one Negro (or anything else non-Israelite) begets neither Negro nor Israelite, but a hybrid. Oh, don't try and fool yourself with throwbacks, think about it.

What is the Biblical ruling on hybrids? "*A bastard*" ("*Mamzer*" - mixed blood, mongrel)

shall not enter into the congregation of Yahweh, even to his tenth generation shall he not enter..." Deuteronomy 23:2. Can you see why Phinehas took the matter so seriously? Serious enough for the Anointed to comment on as recorded in Revelation.

Hybrids are not deemed part of the servant race. Total hybridization means the extinction of God's servant race, it seeks to thwart God's plan for the earth. That is why it is so evil and brings on God's wrath, I cannot over-stress the point. Jesus was talking to Christians, NOT Jews. Christians deceive themselves as Peter says when they tell themselves this does not apply to them.

So, what if you are in a Balaam relationship? What can you do? I recommend you put things right between you and Jesus before He says, "*I never knew you.*" When He puts it right, it will be too late. Will He put it right? If so, how? The book of Ezra shows how to effectively repent (change your ways, go the other, right way), we'll look at that shortly. For now we will see what Christ will do at the time of His second work, (the removal of sin).

Matthew 25:31, "*When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels (separated messengers) with him then shall he sit upon the throne of his glory: And before him shall be gathered all nations: and he SHALL SEPARATE THEM one from another, as a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goats,*" Notice Jesus is talking of a time when all nations are mixed. Up until the 1950's most nations lived separate, apart. But since the 1960's that has all changed. In the USA, it was the end of segregation laws; in Europe, mass immigration from the 3rd world; in the early 1970's, the end of the White Australia Policy, and in the 1990's, the end of Apartheid in Suid-Afrika.

Now, we have in what was once Christendom, a total melting pot of nations, headed by a United Nations. Note that the Anointed was talking to Christendom hell-bent on the doctrine of Balaam. Only Christendom (the West) is now a melting pot. The word "nations" here in Matthew is from the word "*Ethnos*".

Don't let your Balak church leaders beguile you; Jesus is not talking about separating unbelievers from believers. They are lying to you if that is their argument. Note carefully, Jesus said He will separate "ETHNICS" AS (or in like manner) sheep are separated or pulled away from the goats. The sheep and the goats here symbolize ethnic groups and individuals thereof. "*Then (after separation) shall the king say unto them (i.e. the ethnic sheep) Come ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom...*" Matthew 25:34. But to the others "*...Depart from me...*" Matthew 25:41.

Evidently, the latter group saw themselves as Christians in verse 44 but verses 45 and 46 show that their ministering was not reckoned as worthy by the Anointed. Why? Because their love was on their terms, not on God's terms.

The book of Ezra is given as an example of learning on how to solve the problems of being in a mixed wedlock. First, acknowledge your sin, repent thereof; know in your heart you need to show your love of God, not as lip service but in deed. Then pray for deliverance from the adulterous bond ("adulterate": make impure, make unsober, make murky; in the sense of ethnics, to commit hybridization).

Ezra 9:1-4 "*The people of Israel (that's us folks!) ...have not separated themselves from the people of the lands ... For they have taken of their daughters for themselves and for their sons: SO THAT THE HOLY (means Separate, Apart) SEED have mingled themselves with the people of those lands...*" Ezra recounts his dismay at this, Ezra 9:5 "*And at the evening sacrifice I arose up from my heaviness ...I fell upon my knees and spread out my hands unto Yahweh my God ...our iniquities (race-mixing) are increased over our head ...O, our God what shall we say, for we HAVE FORSAKEN THY COMMANDMENTS.*" There is that word Commandments. Again, Commandments means more than the *Ten Commandments*. Ezra understood that Race-Mixing is in violation thereof. Thus "Commandments" refers to the Law system as a whole.

Read the whole prayer of Ezra 9. Read it with a heartfelt need to have deliverance from the SIN of race-mixing. Ezra did for our nation. He was so hurt by this genocidal sin that he wept bitterly (Ezra 10:1). Thankfully, his fellow ethnics, also saw the crime or misdeed clearly and made an oath between themselves and God, "...to put away all the wives and such as are born of them ...and let it be done according to the *THE LAW*." (Ezra 10:3). Those that did not do so within three days were to be "...separated from the congregation ...and their substance forfeited." (Ezra 10:8). Ezra interceded for our folk. In a way he was a Christ-type, he was assuredly an anointed person. The separation of Ezra's day is a type and shadow of what is to come: But we are all called to be Christ-like.

Currently, in the modern melting-pot of Babylon, it is hard to simply send away the non-Israelite partner. So pray often and from the heart for God's help. It works, I know. Years ago, I was in this wretched state. In the end she left, which was all God's doing, and then came a period of great loneliness. But honestly, I was lonely in my relationship with her. Every day I awoke feeling I was laying with something strange, someone who was not bone of my bone and flesh of my flesh. As time went on, often my eyes could not help notice women who were bone of my bone and flesh of my flesh. I began to feel an emptiness so deep inside. Only a new beginning could bring an end to this curse of Balaam.

There is a way out of being unequally yoked, but you must want it. That want can only come if your love of Jesus is honest and you are honest with yourself. Remember, God will not be mocked!

All Christians seem to agree we are in a form of Babylon today. Ezra reminds us that race-mixing was a primary cause of being ruled by the ungodly. If Christians want a better world, then this matter is to be taken very seriously. The "brotherhood of man" is a humanist programme. Does that not say enough? The churches speak ill of the New World Order, but their main platform harmonizes with the New World Order, that is, to

mingle the Holy Seed with the non-Israelites, in order to breed Israel out of existence. Why is it that the churches promote the same idea? Answer, because they teach the Way of Balaam! Just ask Jesus; consult Revelation.

This is also another good reason to keep your young people away from the TV, as the TV "programming", (yes, YOU are being "programmed") is also geared to teaching that inter-racial marriage is fashionable. Look well, to whom your children socialize with, because if they marry outside of Israel, YOU will NEVER have Israelite grandchildren!!!

For further study I recommend, *Whom Has God Joined Together?* by Dallas Jackson. Also for positive guidelines, *The Art of Choosing Your Love*, and *Christian Courtship vs The Dating Game* by Jim West.

PS: Should you wish to know more on this, then the last person to go to is a church minister, basically 50% of what he would teach is falsehood while the other 50% is a load of mind-washing detergent (made up by dissolving an ounce of fact in a gallon of hogwash!)

Write to Christian Identity Ministries for current suggested donations on the books mentioned. Also highly recommended in this regard is the new book: *EXCLUSIVENESS OF ISRAEL* by Eiel. Write to the address below:

PO Box 146
CARDWELL QLD 4849

Adam de Witt
PO Box 3
NEWSTEAD TAS 7250

